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ABSTRACT
The World-Wide Web consists not only of a huge number of unstructured texts, but also a vast amount of valuable structured data. Web tables [2] are a typical type of structured information that are pervasive on the web, and Web-scale methods that automatically extract web tables have been studied extensively [1]. Many powerful systems (e.g., OCTOPUS [4], Mesa [3]) use extracted web tables as a fundamental component.

In the database vernacular, a table is defined as a set of tuples which have the same attributes. Similarly, a web table is defined as a set of rows (corresponding to database tuples) which have the same column headers (corresponding to database attributes). Therefore, to extract a web table is to extract a relation on the web. In databases, tables often contain foreign keys which refer to other tables. Therefore, it follows that hyperlinks inside a web table sometimes function as foreign keys to other relations whose tuples are contained in the hyperlink’s target pages. In this paper, we explore this idea by asking: can we discover new attributes for web tables by exploring hyperlinks inside web tables?

This poster proposes a solution that takes a web table as input. Frequent patterns are generated as new candidate relations by following hyperlinks in the web table. The confidence of candidates are evaluated, and trustworthy candidates are selected to become new attributes for the table. Finally, we show the usefulness of our method by performing experiments on a variety of web domains.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The World Wide Web is traditionally modeled as a collection of unstructured documents, but recently, efforts have been made to account for the structural and relational nature of the Web. For example, the table shown in Figure 1 consists of ‘employees’ in an academic department. This table has four columns, each with a domain-specific label and type, wherein the ‘name’ column contains a group of hyperlinks pointing to the homepage of the listed person. As noted by Cafarella et al. [2], this web table essentially is a small relational database, even if it lacks the explicit meta-data traditionally associated with a database.

This poster explores hyperlinks which are contained in web tables for discovering new entity relations. Again consider the table in Figure 1, the professors listed in the table have links to their homepages, and these homepages contain information regarding ‘teaching’, ‘publications’, etc., but in slightly different forms with different descriptions. If we can find pieces of common information in these professors’ homepages, then we would be able to expand the web table so that each piece of common information becomes a new attribute. Common information could be from contents, hyperlinks, structures and/or metadata of the homepages (however, this poster only considers hyperlink information). In this example, ‘teaching’ and/or ‘acm publication’ could be new attributes. Furthermore, by observing which tuples contain the new attributes, ‘employees’ could further be classified into ‘professor’ and ‘staff’.

Our motivations are: (i) current methods retrieve web tables that are visually expressed in one HTML page, and there is limited experience on discovering attributes across pages; (ii) Due to the fact that a reliable entity group facilitates the discovery of relations, tuples in a web table are (usually) a trustworthy entity group, which supplies guidance for relation discovery; (iii) The discovery of table attributes and relations will mutually help each other.
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Figure 1: A Motivating Example
The remaining problem is: can we discover new attributes by traditional methods of relation extraction? The answer is probably...
no. There have been extensive studies in this area [6, 7], which have developed techniques that are powerful in many cases. However, in the above example, even though these methods may successfully extract the general ‘teaching’ relation, they may not extract the less general ‘acm publication’ relations. Instead, it will probably be omitted because it is a noun phrase or because of data sparseness. The underlying reason is that these methods aim to extract general relations, and therefore lack the capabilities to discover relations specific to a table which may not be common to the whole web.

2. THE ALGORITHM

An entity-relation is a triple \( (e_i, r, e_j) \), where \( e_i \) and \( e_j \) denote two entity types and \( r \) denotes their relation. Figure 2 depicts the general framework:

i. Given a web table, a classifier roughly selects tuples that belong to the entity type \( e_i \). Such filtering may be inaccuracy.

ii. We examine table columns one by one for selected tuples. For a particular table column, we gather the destination pages (abbreviated as \( P \)) of hyperlinks in the column, and collect hyperlinks on \( P \) to form a transactional database \( D \), where a transaction \( d_k \in D \) is a bag of words of any hyperlink-associated information (in this poster we use hyperlink anchor text and hyperlink context).

iii. We adopt a frequent pattern mining approach to generate frequent itemsets from \( D \), and regard each itemset as a candidate relation.

iv. For each candidate \( r \), the worthiness (denoted as \( \text{trust}(r) \)) is evaluated.

v. The classifier is updated by adding \( r \) into the classifier’s feature set.

This procedure repeats iteratively until the worthiness converges. Finally, candidates whose trustworthiness are larger than a pre-defined threshold become new relations. In the remainder of this section, we discuss issues of several components.

The classifier in component (i). A standard classifier can be adopted here, such as Naive Bayes or SVM. The feature set could be collected from DOM tree structures, pre-defined rules, table contents and/or even discovered relations including \( r \).

The miner in component (iii). Pattern-growth-based mining approaches [5] are favored because they are more scalable than, say, Apriori. Pruning techniques can also be used, e.g., require a maximum pattern length, remove patterns with low IDF’s, or require a minimum ratio of tuples that point to at least one hyperlink containing \( r \).

The evaluator in component (iv). There is more than one way to evaluate the worthiness of a candidate relation. In this poster, we employ a classifier \( C \) to label hyperlinks as belonging to the relation \( r \) or not, and the worthiness of \( r \) corresponds to the discriminativeness of the classifier.

3. EXPERIMENTS

The experimental datasets are HTML pages crawled from four websites, i.e., www.cs.uiuc.edu, cis.ksu.edu, estee.lauder.com and senate.gov, downloaded in Jan. 2010, among which UIUC and KSU are academic department sites, ESTEE is a cosmetics site, and SENATE is a government site. We select the four datasets to demonstrate that our method works on diverse web domains.

In all, the four datasets contain 65,452 pages, 1,018,510 hyperlinks and 104,596 web tables, where 44.09% of the tables contain hyperlinks. These statistics empirically confirm our motivation: the World-Wide Web has a vast amount of web tables which are valuable structured data, and hyperlinks widely exist in web tables.
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